



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,808	07/28/2003	Heinrich Wulfert	01332P0003US	4550

32116 7590 08/10/2004

WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER
500 W. MADISON STREET
SUITE 3800
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

SAFAVI, MICHAEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3673

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/628,808	Applicant(s) WULFERT ET AL.	
	Examiner M. Safavi	Art Unit 3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M. Safavi. (3) _____.

(2) Richard Behr. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.


Identification of prior art discussed: Pracht et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: No concurrence had been reached with regard to patentability, as Examiner had disagreed with Mr. Behr's assessment of what is disclosed in the applied reference to Pracht et al. Examiner had suggested to Applicant that arguments with respect to how and why Pracht et al. can not be applied against the claims be submitted with Applicant's response to the Office action of July 02, 2004.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


MICHAEL SAFAVI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 354

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required